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UniSQ Authorship Agreement

The University is guided by the Australian Code for the Responsible Conduct of Research, 2018 (Australian Code) and its supplementary guide related to authorship. The Australian Code’s Authorship Guide defines an author as **an individual who has made a significant intellectual or scholarly contribution to research and its output, and agrees to be listed as an author**.

Further, the Authorship Guide recommends that all researchers should discuss authorship at an early stage in the research, as well as throughout the research project. Where there is more than one author, it is good practice to have an authorship agreement in place before the commencement of writing up a research output. Further information specific to UniSQ requirements is available in the [UniSQ Authorship Procedure](https://policy.usq.edu.au/documents/142211PL).

UniSQ recommends Researchers at UniSQ use this form as a record of agreement around authorship.

**Authorship Discussions and Determinations**

As conversations and determinations of authorship roles are often complex, delicate and can be contentious, holding discussions of contributions early in the development of any collaborative publication and keeping a written record of what has been agreed can mitigate confusion. An Authorship Decision Tool such as this [excel file](https://usqprd.sharepoint.com/%3Ax%3A/r/sites/ResearchUSQ/Authorship%20Decision%20Support%20Tool/Authorship-Decision-Support-Tool.xlsx?d=wbddd41d2c4de496f9cd4850d3d02307b&csf=1&web=1&e=CqD7uY) developed by Professor Frederic Leusch available via the Research @ UniSQ [Sharepoint](https://usqprd.sharepoint.com/sites/ResearchUSQ/SitePages/Research-Integrity-Resources.aspx) can support conversations regarding authorship. To use the tool, list everyone who contributed to the work and assign scores across all four areas (idea, work, writing, stewardship) from 0 (none) to 3 (essential). Look at the sum of points and consider the tool's recommendations to support discussions of authorship with your collaborators.

## Authorship Declaration

By completing and signing this form, all authors are agreeing that:

* the order in which the authors’ names appear in the submitted paper is acceptable to all authors;
* all affiliations listed are correct;
* they have met minimum requirements as per the Australian Code and Authorship Guide;
* there are no other valid authors entitled to be listed on the output;
* they have approved the submitted version of the output; and
* they are responsible for the content of the output.

All authors as listed in this agreement meet the requirements to be listed as an author of the following research output:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title of output and type (e.g. journal article, book)** | **Expected place(s) of Publication/Communication (e.g. journal name, publisher)** |
|  |  |

## Authors

Identify at least one corresponding author who is responsible for communication with the publisher and managing communication between the co-authors.

Note: When HDR candidates are assigned as corresponding author, it is desirable to share this role with the supervisor.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Authors** |
| Full name (in the order to be listed) | Affiliation | Role | Contribution made (or will make) to the output | Contact email | Date and Signature [or attach written agreement] |
|  | University of Southern Queensland | Corresponding Author | [ ] Conception and design of the project or output[ ] Acquisition of research data where the acquisition has required significant intellectual judgement, planning, design or input[ ] Contribution of knowledge, where justified, including Indigenous knowledge [ ] Analysis or interpretation of research data[ ] Drafting significant parts of the research output or critically revising it so as to contribute to its interpretation[ ] Other (provide detail) |  |  |
|  |  |  | [ ] Conception and design of the project or output[ ] Acquisition of research data where the acquisition has required significant intellectual judgement, planning, design or input[ ] Contribution of knowledge, where justified, including Indigenous knowledge [ ] Analysis or interpretation of research data[ ] Drafting significant parts of the research output or critically revising it so as to contribute to its interpretation.[ ] Other (provide detail) |  |  |
|  |  |  | [ ] Conception and design of the project or output[ ] Acquisition of research data where the acquisition has required significant intellectual judgement, planning, design or input[ ] Contribution of knowledge, where justified, including Indigenous knowledge [ ] Analysis or interpretation of research data[ ] Drafting significant parts of the research output or critically revising it so as to contribute to its interpretation.[ ] Other (provide detail) |  |  |
|  |  |  | [ ] Conception and design of the project or output[ ] Acquisition of research data where the acquisition has required significant intellectual judgement, planning, design or input[ ] Contribution of knowledge, where justified, including Indigenous knowledge [ ] Analysis or interpretation of research data[ ] Drafting significant parts of the research output or critically revising it so as to contribute to its interpretation.[ ] Other (provide detail) |  |  |

^ Add more rows where required

**Acknowledgements**

It may be appropriate to acknowledge contributions that do not meet the criteria of Authorship (for example, provision of funding or technical support). It is expected that when acknowledgements are included, authors obtain the permission of named contributors prior to inclusion and comply with any relevant agreements.

|  |
| --- |
| **List of those person/s to be acknowledged in the output** |
| Full name (in the order to be listed) | Reason for their acknowledgement | Contact email | Signature [or attach other written agreement] |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

^ Add more rows where required